Approved, SCAO		ginal - Court copyDefenda	nt=>	2nd copy - Plaintiff 3rd copy - Return
STATE OF MICHIGAN				CASE NO.
JUDICIAL DISTRICT	SUMMONS			0.102110.
9th JUDICIAL CIRCUIT				00 XI (272 NO
COUNTY PROBATE				000-03/5
Court address 150 E. Crosstown Parkway, Kalamazoo, MI 49001				Соил telephone по. (269) 383-8837
Plaintiff's name(s), address(es), and telephone	по(s).]	Defendant's nan	ne(s), address(es), and telephone no(s).
KERRY L. BRIGHAM				RPORATION,
5947 N. Sprinkle Road		a domestic p		ofit corporation,
Kalamazoo, MI 49004				rbor Rd E Ste 201
			Plymouth, MI	48170
Plaintiff's attorney, bar no., address, and teleph	1			
David A. Lewis (P45069)			97	
LEWIS REED & ALLEN, P.C.				
136 E. Michigan Avenue, Ste 800				
Kalamazoo, MI 49007 (269) 388-7600				
L]		
Instructions: Check the items below that apply	y to you and provide any	y required inform	nation. Submit thi	s form to the court clerk along with your complaint and,
if necessary, a case inventory addendum (form	MC 21). The summons	s section will be	completed by the	e court clerk.
Domestic Relations Case				
	cases within the jur	isdiction of th	ne family divis	ion of the circuit court involving the family or
tamily members of the person(s) w	ho are the subject	of the compl	aint.	
☐ There is one or more pending or re	solved cases withi	n the jurisdic	tion of the fan	nily division of the circuit court involving
the family or family members of the person(s) who are the subject of the complaint. I have separately filed a completed				
confidential case inventory (form MC 21) listing those cases.				
It is unknown if there are pending or resolved cases within the jurisdiction of the family division of the circuit court involvin the family or family members of the person(s) who are the subject of the complaint.				
and farmly or farmly manifers of the	s person(s) who are	e me anniecr	or the comple	anit.
Civil Case				
This is a business case in which al	I or part of the action	on includes a	business or	commercial dispute under MCL 600.8035.
LIMDHHS and a contracted health plan may have a right to recover expenses in this case. I certify that notice and a copy of				
the complaint will be provided to MDHHS and (if applicable) the contracted health plan in accordance with MCL 400.106(4)				
There is no other pending or resolved civil action arising out of the same transaction or occurrence as alleged in the complaint.				
A civil action between these parties or other parties arising out of the transaction or occurrence alleged in the complaint has				
been previously filed in this court,				Court, where
it was given case number		and assigne	ed to Judge	
The action remains is no lo	onger pending.	•		
Cummana anation completed by court of a	1	SUMMONS	1 ·	
Summons section completed by court clerk.		SOMMAIOMS	_	
NOTICE TO THE DEFENDANT: In the	e name of the peo	ple of the St	ate of Michiga	n you are notified:
1. You are being sued.				you are ristingu.
2. YOU HAVE 21 DAYS after receiving this summons and a copy of the complaint to file a written answer with the court and				
serve a copy on the other party or take other lawful action with the court (28 days if you were served by mail or you were				
served outside this state).				
3. If you do not answer or take other action within the time allowed, judgment may be entered against you for the relief				
demanded in the complaint. 4. If you require special accommodations to use the court because of a disability or if you require a foreign language interpreter				
to help you fully participate in court proceedings, please contact the court immediately to make arrangements.)				
Issue date / Court derk				
M-34-500 112-24-5000 m 10 H 1000 lul				
*This summons is invalid unless served on or before its expiration date. This document must be sealed by the seal of the court				
MC 01 (9/19) SUMMONS			MCR 1.109(D), MCR 2.102(B), MCR
* -				ses.

Case 1:21-cv-00253-RJJ-SJB ECF No. 1-2, PageID.10 Filed 03/18/21 Page 2 of 7

PROOF OF SERVICE

	SUMMONS
Case No.	

TO PROCESS SERVER: You are to serve the summons and complaint not later than 91 days from the date of filing or the date of expiration on the order for second summons. You must make and file your return with the court clerk. If you are unable to complete service you must return this original and all copies to the court clerk.

CERTIFICATE / AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE / NONSERVICE ☐ OFFICER CERTIFICATE OR ☐ AFFIDAVIT OF PROCESS SERVER I certify that I am a sheriff, deputy sheriff, bailiff, appointed Being first duly sworn, I state that I am a legally competent court officer, or attorney for a party (MCR 2.104[A][2]), adult, and I am not a party or an officer of a corporate and that: (notarization not required) party (MCR 2.103[A]), and that: (notarization required) I served personally a copy of the summons and complaint, ☐ I served by registered or certified mail (copy of return receipt attached) a copy of the summons and complaint, together with List all documents served with the summons and complaint on the defendant(s): Defendant's name Complete address(es) of service Day, date, time I have personally attempted to serve the summons and complaint, together with any attachments, on the following defendant(s) and have been unable to complete service. Defendant's name Complete address(es) of service Day, date, time I declare under the penalties of perjury that this proof of service has been examined by me and that its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief. Service fee Miles traveled Fee Signature Name (type or print) Incorrect address fee Miles traveled Fee TOTAL FEE Subscribed and sworn to before me on Date _____County, Michigan. My commission expires: Signature: Deputy court clerk/Notary public Notary public, State of Michigan, County of ____ ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SERVICE I acknowledge that I have received service of the summons and complaint, together with Attachments Day, date, time __ on behalf of _____ Signature

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE 9^{th} CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF KALAMAZOO

KERRY L. BRIGHAM,

Plaintiff,

Case No.

NO

v

HON. ALEXANDER C. LIPSEY

TARGET CORPORATION, a domestic profit corporation,

Defendant.

David A. Lewis (P45069) LEWIS, REED & ALLEN, P.C. Attorney for Plaintiff 136 East Michigan Avenue, Suite 800 Kalamazoo, MI 49007 (269) 388-7600

There is no other pending or resolved civil action arising out of same transaction or occurrence as alleged in this complaint.

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

NOW COMES Plaintiff, KERRY L. BRIGHAM, by and through her attorneys, LEWIS REED & ALLEN, P.C., and for her complaint states as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff is a resident of Kalamazoo County, Michigan.
- 2. Defendant Target Corporation is a domestic profit corporation with a principle place of business in Minneapolis, Minnesota, authorized to and doing business in Kalamazoo County, Michigan with a registered agent located in Plymouth, Michigan.
- 3. Defendant owns, operates and/or has control of the premises located at 5350 West Main Street, Kalamazoo, Michigan 49009 ("Premises").

- 4. At the time of the incident, which is the subject matter of this lawsuit, Plaintiff was a business invitee of Defendant and was present on the Premises.
- 5. The incident which is the subject matter of this lawsuit occurred in Kalamazoo County, Michigan.
- 6. The amount in controversy exceeds the sum of Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000.00) and jurisdiction and venue are otherwise proper in this court.

COUNT I

NEGLIGENCE

- 7. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 8. As the entity who owned, managed, operated and/or controlled the Premises, Defendant had a duty to exercise ordinary care to keep the Premises in a reasonably safe condition for business invitees, including Plaintiff.
- 9. On the afternoon of October 10, 2017, Plaintiff was lawfully on the Premises as a business invitee with her two minor daughters sitting on a bench in the shoe department when a large piece of a display shelving unit fell and struck her in the head and upper body as a direct and proximate result of (but not limited to) Defendants failure to maintain the display unit in a safe condition, failure to properly assemble the display unit, and failure to inspect and make safe the Premises for business invitees such as Plaintiff.
- 10. Plaintiff exercised due caution and ordinary care while on the Premises and was not guilty of negligence or comparative negligence in connection with the instant matter.
- 11. The conditions complained of were not observable upon casual inspection nor did

 Defendant provide any warning of the existence of the unreasonably dangerous condition.

2.

- 12. The conditions complained of had special aspects, including but not limited to, the fact that the risk of harm was unreasonable to this Plaintiff and, upon information and belief, was created by Defendant.
- 13. Defendant had a duty to the public in general, and to Plaintiff in particular, to provide a safe place for invitees such as Plaintiff and others similarly situated, and to exercise due care in the operation and maintenance of the Premises so as to prevent injury to its invitees.
- 14. Further, Defendant had a duty to Plaintiff and other patrons of the Premises, as invitees, to inspect the Premises for dangerous conditions and to warn Plaintiff and other patrons of dangerous conditions of which it knew, should have known, or created.
- 15. Defendant knew, or in the exercise of ordinary care should have known, of the dangerous conditions present on the Premises, specifically the unreasonably dangerous display unit, which condition was, upon information and belief, created by Defendant or its employees/agents, whose negligence is imputed to and becomes the negligence of Defendant through the doctrine of *respondeat superior*.
- 16. Defendant knew or should have known that the condition of the Premises involved an unreasonable risk of harm to business invitees, including Plaintiff.
- 17. The dangerous conditions existed for a sufficient length of time for Defendant to have discovered and remedied the conditions.
- 18. Defendant had a duty to take reasonable measures within a reasonable amount of time to reduce and/or diminish the hazard to persons lawfully on the premises, such as Plaintiff.
- 19. Upon information and belief, security video recordings of the Premises indicate the dangerous conditions on the premises and that such conditions existed for a substantial period of

3

time before the Plaintiff was injured, demonstrating that Defendant had constructive knowledge of the dangerous conditions yet failed to correct said conditions.

- 20. Notwithstanding said duties set forth above, Defendant breached them by, without limitation:
 - (a) Negligently maintaining dangerous and defective conditions on a portion of the premises where it knew or should have known invitees would traverse;
 - (b) Failing to take precautionary measures to correct or alleviate the unsafe conditions created by the display unit;
 - (c) Failing to inspect said premises for dangerous conditions and failing to warn Plaintiff and others similarly situated of the unsafe conditions after such time as Defendant knew or could reasonably have known of the unsafe conditions;
 - (d) Failing to warn Plaintiff and other patrons of the conditions that caused Plaintiff's injury;
 - (e) Creating a dangerous condition by improperly maintaining the premises; and
 - (f) Performing other acts of negligence not yet known by Plaintiff but which will be ascertained during the discovery of said litigation.
- 21. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendant, Plaintiff was struck in the head and upper body and sustained injuries, including but not limited to head injuries, neck injuries, and any and all damages which the proofs may show.
- As a further direct and proximate result of the aforementioned acts and omissions of the Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer, without limitation, pain, humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish, fright, shock, disability, loss of enjoyment of life, gross indignity and inconvenience because of the permanent nature of said injuries, and both wage loss and impairment of her earning capacity due to the permanent nature of her injuries.

4

23. That as a further result of Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff's family has been emotionally and financially impacted.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for a Judgment against the Defendant TARGET CORPORATION, of actual and consequential damages in whatever amount in excess of Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000.00) she is deemed to be entitled by this Honorable Court and/or Jury, together with costs, interest, and attorney fees.

LEWIS REED & ALLEN, P.C.

DAVID A. LÈWIS (P45069)

Attorney for Plaintiff

136 E. Michigan Avenue, Ste. 800

Kalamazoo, MI 49007

(269) 388-7600

Dated: September 23, 2020

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff, KERRY L. BRIGHAM, hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues in this matter.

LEWIS REED & ALLEN, P.C.

DAVID A. LEWIS (P45069)

Attorney for Plaintiff

136 E. Michigan Avenue, Ste. 800

Kalamazoo, MI 49007

(269) 388-7600

Dated: September 23, 2020